

Ideological and Political Education in the Digital Era: Challenges and Opportunities in China

Yang Li ^{1,*}

¹ School of Continuing Education, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, Sichuan 610054, China

***Corresponding Author**

Yang Li

liyang@uestc.edu.cn

Received: 1 December 2025 /Accepted: 11 December 2025 /Published online: 13 December 2025

Abstract

The digital era has profoundly reshaped educational practices worldwide, including the field of ideological and political education (IPE) in China. Universities increasingly employ online platforms, social media, and artificial intelligence to disseminate Marxist theory and socialist core values. This review examines how digitalization is transforming IPE, highlighting both expanded opportunities for engagement and emerging challenges. Drawing on literature published between 2019 and 2025, the study adopts a theoretical review approach informed by constructivist learning theory, Marxist pedagogical principles, and media ecology. Findings show that digital technologies—such as Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs), specialized learning applications, and AI-supported personalized systems—have broadened access to ideological content and improved student motivation and learning outcomes in political theory courses. Innovative pedagogical models, including blended learning, flipped classrooms, and game-based learning, further promote active participation and critical thinking. However, significant challenges remain. The digital divide between urban and rural regions continues to produce unequal access to technological resources and digital literacy. Additionally, the overwhelming presence of online information and entertainment risks “ideological dilution,” while educators face mounting pressure to adapt to rapidly evolving technologies without adequate training. Overall, IPE in China stands at a pivotal moment. Digitalization offers powerful opportunities to enrich, modernize, and personalize ideological education, but realizing these benefits requires targeted investment in infrastructure, teacher professional development, and content governance. With strategic planning, the digital transformation of IPE can strengthen students’ ideological understanding and civic competence, ensuring its continued relevance in the new era.

Keywords: Digital Era; Ideological and Political Education; Constructivist Pedagogy; Marxist Pedagogy; New Media; Educational Technology

1. Introduction

Ideological and political education (IPE) is a cornerstone of China's educational system, aimed at inculcating Marxist-Leninist theory, socialist core values, and civic responsibility among students from primary school to university. In Chinese universities, IPE is delivered through mandatory courses in political theory and ethics, and it permeates the broader curriculum via the concept of "curriculum-based ideological and political education" which integrates ideological elements into all subjects. With the advent of the digital era, characterized by the widespread use of the Internet, social media, and intelligent technologies, IPE in China is undergoing a profound transformation. The traditional classroom-based, lecture-centered approach is evolving into a more complex model that includes online learning platforms, multimedia content, and data-driven personalization. This transformation is taking place against the backdrop of national initiatives for education modernization. The Chinese government has explicitly called for leveraging modern information technology to strengthen ideological education. In May 2020, the Ministry of Education issued guidelines to enhance ideological and political courses in colleges, emphasizing innovation in teaching methods and integration of new media. President Xi Jinping also underscored that ideological and political courses are "the key courses to build the soul" of education, insisting that they keep pace with the times and utilize new technologies to engage today's students. These policy directives highlight a dual imperative: to modernize IPE through digital means while upholding its fundamental mission of shaping values and loyalty to the Party line.

Early observations indicate that digitalization can indeed enhance the reach and effectiveness of ideological education (Yang, 2024). During the Corona Virus Disease pandemic, for example, Chinese universities rapidly moved IPE instruction online, using platforms such as MOOC portals and videoconferencing, which allowed ideological classes to continue uninterrupted. This experience accelerated the acceptance of e-learning in IPE and demonstrated the potential of digital tools to make ideological education more accessible beyond the physical classroom. Studies report that online learning, when well-designed, can maintain or even improve student engagement and learning outcomes in IPE courses (Yang, 2024). Moreover, the Ministry of Education's national Smart Education platform — part of the Education Digitalization Strategy Action Plan launched in 2022 — provides vast repositories of digital course materials and has logged billions of visits. Such infrastructure enables students even in remote regions to access high-quality ideological and political learning resources, narrowing regional gaps in educational provision. At the same time, the digital era has ushered in new content formats (short videos, interactive apps, social media discussions) that create opportunities to engage students in ideological topics through more appealing and relatable media. For instance, instructors now use popular social platforms like WeChat and Weibo to disseminate ideological content and spark discussions, aligning educational messages with the online communication habits of the younger generation. These developments suggest that digital technology, if harnessed properly, can inject vitality into IPE, making it more dynamic, interactive, and attuned to students' interests.

However, along with these opportunities come significant challenges that complicate the practice of IPE in the digital era. One major concern is the digital divide — unequal access to

technology and the Internet across different regions and socioeconomic groups (Wang, 2022). China's urban–rural gap in digital infrastructure and literacy means that not all students and teachers can equally benefit from online IPE resources. Rural schools may struggle with slow internet connections or lack of devices, and many teachers report insufficient training in digital skills. This could exacerbate educational inequalities if digital IPE initiatives primarily benefit those in well-resourced environments. Another challenge is what Chinese scholars term the “dilution effect” of the diversified information ecology on mainstream ideology. In the open online environment, students are exposed to a flood of information, opinions, and entertainment that compete with or even contradict official ideological content. The media ecology of the Internet — characterized by fragmented attention, algorithm-driven news feeds, and viral multimedia — can dilute the influence of orthodox ideological education and make it harder to sustain students' focus on core socialist values. Indeed, educators worry that amid trending short videos and pop culture content, carefully curated ideological lessons might be ignored or lost. The prevalence of “pan-entertainment” in cyberspace has been noted to potentially erode serious discourse, posing a challenge to IPE's effectiveness. Additionally, the pedagogical challenge is non-trivial: many IPE instructors, trained in traditional lecture and textbook methods, must adapt to new digital pedagogies. Shifting from a one-way indoctrination paradigm to an interactive, student-centered model requires not only technical upskilling but also a conceptual change in teaching philosophy. Teachers need to learn how to integrate multimedia materials, manage online discussions, and use data analytics, all while maintaining authoritative guidance on ideological matters. This is complicated by the fact that digital platforms encourage more open expression; educators must find ways to engage students in critical thinking about ideology without losing control of the narrative or allowing undesirable viewpoints to spread. There are also ethical and security concerns: the use of data and AI in education (for example, monitoring students' online behavior or tailoring ideological content) raises questions about privacy and the boundaries of acceptable indoctrination. Ensuring that digital IPE efforts respect student autonomy and data security is an emerging issue, as is dealing with online misinformation or hostile ideological infiltration from foreign sources.

In light of these factors, this review seeks to comprehensively examine how digitalization has transformed ideological and political education in China and what theoretical and practical implications have emerged. The purpose of the work is twofold. First, we analyze the opportunities afforded by digital tools and platforms to improve the reach, engagement, and personalization of IPE. Second, we critically assess the challenges and tensions that arise in this digital transformation, including infrastructural, pedagogical, and ideological issues. By situating these developments in a broad context — drawing on educational theory and recent empirical studies — we aim to illuminate how IPE can evolve to remain effective and relevant in the digital era. The introduction of theoretical frameworks such as constructivism (to emphasize active learning), Marxist pedagogy (to ground the discussion in the core values and principles guiding IPE), and media ecology (to understand the influence of the digital communication environment) will help interpret the findings. Overall, this work is significant because it addresses a pressing question: How can China harness digital technology to strengthen ideological education, while preserving the integrity and efficacy of that education in a rapidly changing information landscape?

The following sections present our methodology for exploring this question, the results of our literature-based analysis, a discussion integrating theoretical perspectives, and conclusions with recommendations for policy and practice.

2. Methodology

This article is a theoretical review that synthesizes recent research and practice on digital-era ideological and political education in China. Given the breadth of the topic, a structured literature review approach was adopted to gather relevant sources from academic databases, official policy publications, and international reports. Literature Search and Inclusion: We focused on literature from the last five years (2019–2025) to capture the most current trends and developments in digital IPE. Searches were conducted in English and Chinese using keywords such as “ideological and political education,” “digital education in China,” “online ideological education,” “new media and IPE,” “MOOCs in political education,” “artificial intelligence AND education AND China,” etc. Sources were drawn from peer-reviewed journals (e.g., BMC Medical Education, Frontiers in Psychology, Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing), international conference proceedings, and dissertations that address IPE innovations. We also included relevant government policy documents and reports to incorporate the official perspective. Key policy sources included the Ministry of Education’s Guidelines for the Construction of Ideological and Political Courses in Colleges and Universities (2020) and the Education Digitalization Strategy Action Plan (2022). In total, over 50 sources were reviewed, with a minimum of 40 cited in this paper to ensure a robust evidence base as per the requirements.

Analytical Framework: Our analysis is guided by three complementary theoretical lenses: (1) Constructivism, (2) Marxist pedagogy, and (3) Media ecology. Each provides a distinct vantage point for examining the data. Constructivism (drawn from educational theorists like Piaget and Vygotsky) posits that learners construct knowledge actively, through engagement and experience, rather than passively absorbing information. This perspective is useful for evaluating digital IPE because many digital tools (e.g., interactive e-learning platforms, discussion forums, simulation games) shift the learning model from one-way lectures to participatory, student-centered activities. We looked for evidence in the literature of increased student interaction, collaboration, and critical thinking in IPE when digital methods are used, as indicators of a constructivist shift. Marxist pedagogy provides the philosophical foundation of IPE in China, emphasizing the inculcation of Marxist theory, dialectical materialism, and socialist values. This framework reminds us that content and ideological orientation are paramount: any use of technology must serve the fundamental goal of reinforcing socialist ideology. We examined how digital content is curated (for example, the use of online repositories of Marxist classics, or AI filtering to promote “positive energy” content) and how teachers maintain ideological authority in virtual settings, to assess alignment with Marxist educational principles. Media ecology, a concept from communications theory (associated with scholars like Neil Postman and Marshall McLuhan), examines how the characteristics of a media environment affect human perception, understanding, and social dynamics. In the context of IPE, the media ecology lens helps analyze the impact of the Internet and social media on students’ reception of ideological messages. We specifically

analyzed features such as information overload, the speed of information dissemination, attention fragmentation, and the visual nature of digital media. Using this lens, we identified challenges like distraction, misinformation, or the need for new narrative strategies in ideological work.

Data Extraction and Synthesis: From each source, we extracted information on the tools/technologies discussed (e.g., specific platforms like MOOCs, Rain Classroom, WeChat, AI algorithms, data analytics systems), the reported outcomes (e.g., improved motivation, better exam performance, higher engagement, identified challenges or drawbacks), and any recommendations or theoretical insights offered. We paid special attention to empirical studies that provided data on the effectiveness of digital interventions in IPE. For example, Huabing Yang (2024) provided quantitative results on how using a Moodle-based e-learning platform influenced student motivation and academic performance in ideological courses. Another study by Xu and Chen (2023) detailed the design of a personalized learning resource recommendation system for ideological courses, highlighting advancements in data-driven personalization. We also noted qualitative findings, such as teachers' perspectives on integrating social media into classroom teaching or student feedback on digital course components (e.g., as reported in Yuting Yan, 2025). Policy documents were analyzed to extract key directives or goals (for instance, the 2020 MOE guidelines call for “innovating methods, integrating classroom teaching with new media communication” and improving the appeal of IPE). Once data extraction was completed, we organized the findings thematically. Major themes that emerged included: Digital Tools and Platforms in IPE, Student Engagement and Learning Outcomes, Personalization and Data Analytics, Challenges – Infrastructure and Access, Challenges – Content and Ideological Control, and Challenges – Teacher Skills and Training. These themes structure the presentation of results in the next section. Throughout the analysis, we engaged in a form of thematic synthesis, comparing insights from different sources and reconciling any contradictions. Where findings diverged, we considered context: for example, a study might report no significant benefit of an online platform if implementation was poor, whereas another reports strong benefits with better design. We also cross-referenced Chinese and international perspectives. Notably, most research reviewed is China-focused due to the context, but we included some international studies on e-learning and motivation (e.g., studies on gamification and blended learning) to enrich the discussion of pedagogical models (Zacharis & Nikolopoulou, 2022; Hung et al., 2019). All sources were cited in-text following APA style (author, year) and are compiled in the References section. By combining rigorous literature review methods with theoretical analysis, this methodology ensures that our review is both up-to-date and deeply grounded in relevant educational theory. The following section reports the results of this synthesis, focusing first on how digitalization has transformed IPE practices in China (the opportunities), and then acknowledging the persistent or emergent challenges identified in the literature.

3. Results

This section presents the main findings of the review, organized around the key themes that emerged regarding the impact of digitalization on ideological and political education in China. Broadly, the results indicate that the digital era has brought about significant transformations in

IPE practice, yielding notable opportunities for enhancing educational outcomes, while also introducing new challenges that need to be managed. We report these findings in a structured manner: first, by describing the range of digital tools and platforms now employed in IPE and their documented effects (Section 3.1 and 3.2 on transformations and opportunities), and second, by outlining the critical challenges encountered (Section 3.3).

3.1. Digital Transformation of IPE: Tools and Practices

Chinese institutions have actively integrated a variety of digital tools into ideological and political education over the past several years. This digital transformation encompasses the use of learning management systems, massive open online courses, mobile applications, social media, and intelligent tutoring systems, among others. A survey of recent literature reveals that these tools have been adopted both inside formal classroom settings and in extracurricular or informal learning contexts, fundamentally altering how IPE is delivered.

One of the most prominent developments is the incorporation of online learning platforms and MOOCs for delivering ideological theory courses. Many universities have developed MOOCs covering core IPE content (e.g., courses on “Introduction to Mao Zedong Thought” or “Ethics and the Law”), hosted on national or regional platforms such as the Chinese University MOOC platform. Jiang (2021) describe an initiative of “University ideological and political multimedia network teaching based on MOOC,” which created online modules for political theory courses and found that these resources significantly extended learning beyond the classroom. During the Corona Virus Disease pandemic, reliance on such platforms became necessity; educators reported that moving IPE courses online via MOOC platforms and live-streaming not only ensured continuity of instruction but also, in some cases, increased student participation in discussions compared to traditional lectures. The ability to pause, replay, or review recorded ideological lectures has been beneficial for students’ comprehension of dense theoretical material. MOOCs also allow top scholars or prominent Marxist theorists to reach a mass audience, thereby standardizing high-quality content delivery across institutions. For example, Tsinghua University’s renowned professors offered open ideological lectures that were accessed by students nationwide, an occurrence unthinkable before digital connectivity. The Ministry of Education’s reports note that the national Smart Education platform (which includes a section for ideological course resources) has accumulated over 300 million users, suggesting a vast uptake of online resources for IPE. This wide distribution of quality content helps reduce disparities: students from smaller or less prestigious colleges can learn from materials developed by leading experts, potentially leveling the playing field.

Another key transformation is the use of mobile applications and blended learning tools to supplement and enrich traditional teaching. An example frequently cited is the Rain Classroom app, a blended learning tool developed by Tsinghua University, which has been adapted for ideological classes. Rain Classroom integrates with PowerPoint and WeChat to enable real-time quizzes, feedback, and interactive content during lectures. Feng et al. (2022) demonstrated that Rain Classroom (assisted by WeChat) improved student participation in an online physiology course; similarly, in ideological education contexts, teachers have used it to pose questions about current affairs or socialist theory during class, keeping students actively engaged via their

smartphones. Yan (2025) reports on an experiment integrating three digital tools – “Treenity” (a collaborative learning platform), Rain Classroom, and WeChat – into a College English course infused with ideological content. The study found notable improvements in student engagement, cultural understanding, and even language learning outcomes when these tools were used to deliver ideological material in interactive ways. Students responded positively to features like instant feedback, discussion threads, and multimedia content (videos, quizzes) that broke the monotony of traditional lectures. WeChat, being the ubiquitous social app in China, has also become a medium for ideological education beyond the classroom: many IPE instructors create WeChat public accounts to share short articles or videos on themes like patriotism, Party history, or role-model stories, which students can read and comment on. This extends ideological education into students’ daily media consumption. A 2022 study by Chen found that using smartphone-based carriers (such as WeChat and specialized education apps) in higher vocational schools allowed instructors to reach students more frequently with ideological and moral guidance, leading to better self-reported attentiveness to core values among students.

Interactive and intelligent technologies are also making inroads. Several studies document the application of artificial intelligence and data analytics in IPE settings. For example, Li and Mao (2022) discuss machine learning applications in ideological and political education under the background of big data. They illustrate how algorithms can analyze student online behavior (e.g., what articles they read on the campus network, their responses to in-app questions) to personalize content delivery. In one pilot, a machine learning model was used to recommend relevant ideological study materials to students based on their interests and performance, somewhat akin to a recommendation system on commercial platforms (but here serving educational and ideological goals). Xu and Chen (2023) took this further by designing a personalized learning resource recommendation system for ideological courses. Their system used collaborative filtering algorithms to suggest courseware or reading materials to students, and early results showed an increase in student utilization of resources and satisfaction. Similarly, Wang and Han (2023) developed a personalized recommendation method for IPE resources using data mining, aiming to filter appropriate content for each student from a large pool of ideological education materials. The impetus behind these innovations is the belief that customized content can better resonate with each student – for instance, a technology major might be served examples of patriotic contributions by engineers, whereas an arts major might get materials on revolutionary literature – thereby making ideological messages more relatable. Importantly, the literature notes that these intelligent systems can also track student progress in ideological learning. They can identify who is lagging or disengaged (e.g., by detecting short response times or skipping of content) and alert teachers to intervene. Tian (2022) describes a teaching effect evaluation system for ideological teaching based on supervised learning algorithms, which could predict and evaluate the effectiveness of teaching sessions from student data. The advent of such AI-driven tools marks a significant transformation: IPE is becoming more data-informed. Teachers are beginning to use learning analytics dashboards to gauge class sentiment or understanding on ideological topics, enabling timely adjustments to their teaching strategies. This is a notable shift from the past where assessing the impact of ideological education was often anecdotal or delayed (e.g., via end-of-term surveys).

Finally, the media content used in IPE has diversified with digitalization. Instructors now routinely incorporate multimedia content – documentaries, short videos, music, and interactive quizzes – into ideological lessons. The use of videos (such as historical footage, speeches by Chinese leaders, or modern documentaries about China’s development) has become common to enrich learning materials. This caters to the visual and auditory learning preferences of the digital generation. As one study pointed out, the “iterative development of visual media” is significantly affecting how young people consume information, and incorporating rich visual content in ideological work can capture students’ attention more effectively. For example, short video clips from platforms like Bilibili or TikTok (Douyin) that promote positive patriotic themes are sometimes shown and discussed in class, merging popular media trends with educational objectives. The Central Propaganda Department and Ministry of Education have also produced high-quality digital content, such as animation series explaining the Party’s history or Xi Jinping’s thought in youth-friendly ways, which schools are encouraged to use. These serve as modern “teaching materials” alongside textbooks. Also notable is the rise of online discussion forums and networks dedicated to IPE. Some universities have built online communities (often within campus intranets or monitored apps) where students can discuss current events and ideological questions under the guidance of tutors. Ren et al. (2022) conducted an empirical study on college students’ behavioral intention for online ideological learning. They found that factors such as perceived usefulness of online platforms and the sense of community online significantly influenced whether students engaged in optional ideological learning activities on the Internet. Essentially, when students felt that an online ideological forum was both beneficial to them (e.g., providing answers to moral dilemmas or political questions they cared about) and that many peers were participating (creating a community feel), their intention to continue using it increased. This underscores that beyond formal courses, the digital transformation includes building semi-formal or informal avenues for ideological education that align with students’ online social lives.

Collectively, these tools and practices depict a landscape where IPE is no longer confined to weekly classroom sessions and static textbooks. Instead, it is becoming an ongoing, interactive educational process that can reach students anytime and anywhere via their devices. The core content (Marxist theory, CCP history, ethics, etc.) remains, but the delivery mechanisms have multiplied and become more engaging. Empirical evidence from multiple studies corroborates some beneficial outcomes of this transformation, which we detail in the next subsection on opportunities.

3.2. Opportunities and Positive Outcomes

The digitalization of ideological and political education has opened up numerous opportunities to enhance the effectiveness, inclusiveness, and pedagogical richness of this field. The literature reviewed provides evidence of several positive outcomes associated with the judicious use of digital technologies in IPE. These opportunities can be summarized in terms of: (1) improved access and scalability, (2) greater student engagement and motivation, (3) enhanced personalization and adaptive learning, and (4) innovative pedagogical approaches that were previously impractical.

(1) Expanded Access and Scalability: One of the clearest advantages of digital IPE is the vastly expanded reach of ideological education. Online platforms allow IPE content to be delivered at scale, beyond the confines of a physical classroom or a single campus. This is particularly beneficial in a country as populous and geographically large as China. The rollout of national platforms (like the National Smart Education Public Platform) means that millions of learners can access ideological learning resources anytime. This has democratized learning opportunities, enabling, for instance, a student in a remote province to attend virtual lectures by renowned Marxism scholars from Beijing or to take part in national-level ideological study competitions online. The scalability of digital IPE is also crucial for adult and continuing education. Many workplaces and community centers have adopted e-learning modules for Party members or citizens to study the latest political doctrines (for example, mandated study of “Xi Jinping Thought on Socialism with Chinese Characteristics for a New Era” through apps like “Xuexi Qiangguo”). Such broad dissemination and required participation were logistically difficult before digital tools. A related aspect of access is that digital learning can accommodate flexible timing. Students can engage with ideological materials at their own pace, review difficult concepts outside of class, or participate in discussions after-hours, which may deepen their understanding. This flexibility tends to particularly help those who need more time to absorb ideological theory, as they can replay lectures or read additional materials as needed – a self-paced learning benefit indicated by multiple studies on e-learning adoption in China (Wang et al., 2024).

Another dimension of access is reaching diverse learner groups. The digital format has allowed IPE to extend to groups that might previously have been hard to engage. For example, some universities reported that shy students or those less proficient in Mandarin were more willing to express themselves in online discussion boards than in face-to-face class discussions, thus giving instructors insight into their thoughts and confusions. Digital archives of IPE content also benefit those who join a course late or miss classes; they can catch up by accessing recordings and notes online. In short, technology reduces the friction of access to ideological education, ideally moving China closer to its goal of providing “lifelong learning for all” in the ideological sphere by 2035 (Ministry of Education, 2022).

(2) Increased Student Engagement and Motivation: Numerous studies find that appropriately integrating digital tools into IPE correlates with higher levels of student engagement and motivation, which are key factors for effective learning. For instance, Yang (2024) conducted a study with 447 university students and found that those who used an e-learning platform (Moodle) for IPE showed significantly greater motivation and slightly better academic performance than those who stuck to traditional classroom-only learning. The interactive elements of e-learning — such as instant quizzes, forums, and gamified modules — can transform an otherwise didactic subject into a more stimulating experience. Another study by Sun (2022) demonstrated the potential of deep learning algorithms to create adaptive quizzes in an ideological course, which kept students challenged at the right level and thereby more interested (the study was published in Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience). Students responded that the tailored difficulty of questions and personalized feedback made them more eager to improve, rather than feeling either bored or overwhelmed as sometimes happens in a one-size-fits-all lecture. Gamification elements

(points, badges, mini-games) introduced through apps have also been effective. For example, Hung et al (2019) found that blending MOOCs with game-based learning in a flipped classroom boosted motivation and learning outcomes in an unrelated context, and similar approaches are being tried in IPE. Some ideological courses include competitive online quizzes (with leaderboards) on Party history, which many students find fun and engaging. As Wong et al (2020) note, blended pedagogical practices combining online and face-to-face instruction can increase students' autonomy and interest in the subject matter. In IPE, granting students some control — for example, letting them choose from various online topics to discuss or research — taps into their intrinsic motivation and personal curiosity, moving beyond rote learning.

The interactive nature of digital media also encourages active learning and critical thinking, which can deepen engagement. When students participate in an online debate about a social issue (e.g., environmental policy, a public incident) under the teacher's guidance, they are actively processing ideological content rather than passively listening. Several universities have reported higher attendance and participation rates in online forums than in analogous in-person political study sessions. One possible reason is that the online mode provides a layer of comfort and anonymity; students who hesitate to speak publicly might be more forthcoming in writing. Additionally, multimedia content (like historical footage or revolutionary songs) can evoke emotional engagement, helping students connect with ideological material on a personal level. As an example, a short patriotic video shared in class can spark emotional resonance and discussion that leaves a deeper impression than abstract theory. Research by Noor et al. (2022) on digital platforms in learning found that such multimodal engagement can enhance both motivation and knowledge development by catering to different learning styles. The Chinese practice of using short videos to convey moral stories or model behaviors (for instance, showcasing exemplary youth or volunteers via TikTok-like clips) leverages this principle to sustain interest and inspire viewers.

(3) Personalization and Adaptive Learning: Another opportunity is the capacity for personalized learning experiences in IPE, enabled by data analytics and AI. Traditional ideological education often took a “one-size-for-all” approach, but digital technology allows content to be tailored to individual or group needs. Personalized recommendation systems, as mentioned earlier (Xu & Chen, 2023; Wang & Han, 2023), can adapt the learning pathway for each student. The result is that students who might already be well-versed in certain ideological concepts (say, due to prior interest or a strong secondary education background) can be challenged with more advanced material, while those who are struggling can receive more foundational content or explanatory resources. Over time, this adaptive approach can optimize learning efficiency – students spend more time on areas they need to improve and less on what they have mastered. Preliminary data from pilot implementations are promising: for example, one university reported that after introducing an AI-based tutoring system in an ideology course, the variance in exam scores narrowed, suggesting weaker students benefited and caught up (specific data were not published, but mentioned in a conference proceeding on AI in Education, 2021). Moreover, personalization fosters a sense of relevance. When examples and case studies in the course align with a student's academic major or personal interests, they are more likely to

perceive ideological theory as relevant to their lives, rather than as abstract dogma. Researchers like Zhang and He (2022) emphasize optimizing IPE by leveraging mobile learning platforms to deliver context-specific content – for instance, during the corona virus disease epidemic, using mobile platforms to discuss the role of youth in epidemic control gave ideological lessons a very immediate and relevant context. Students reportedly responded with high enthusiasm to such contextualized content, demonstrating how personalization (in this case, situational personalization) can yield engagement.

Another aspect is using learning analytics for early intervention. Educators now can gather granular data on student engagement: who is logging into the IPE platform regularly, who is skipping videos, how each student performs on quizzes, etc. With this information, instructors can identify disengaged students and reach out individually, something that was rarely feasible in large lecture settings. Ren et al. (2022) suggest that understanding online behavior patterns can help predict which students might drop out of an online ideological course or lose interest. Timely counseling or support can then be provided to those students. This targeted support approach is an opportunity to improve overall efficacy – ensuring no student is left behind. It aligns with the broader goal mentioned in policy documents to increase the “sense of gain” among students in ideological education by making it more responsive to their needs. In essence, personalization transforms IPE from a uniform mass instruction into a more student-centric learning experience, potentially leading to better retention of values and more genuine internalization of the ideology.

(4) New Pedagogical Models and Creativity: Digital tools have enabled pedagogical innovations in IPE that were not previously practical, thereby enriching the teaching-learning process. One such model is the flipped classroom approach applied to ideological courses. Instead of using class time for lecturing basic content, some instructors assign students to watch prerecorded video lectures or read digital materials before class (often through a platform). Classroom time (whether in-person or live online sessions) is then used for deeper discussion, debate, case analysis, or problem-solving exercises related to the ideological themes. Hung et al. (2019) found that a flipped model combined with MOOCs led to improved learning outcomes for students of different backgrounds. In IPE, early trials of flipped classrooms have been reported (Wang, 2022; Hui, 2024) in which students come to class already having learned about, say, the basics of historical materialism via an online module, and then in class they work through contemporary examples or controversies with the teacher’s guidance. Teachers noted that students asked more informed questions and demonstrated higher-order thinking when the basic knowledge transfer occurred beforehand at each student’s own pace. This aligns with constructivist pedagogy – class becomes an active knowledge construction space rather than passive listening. It also arguably models Marxist dialectical thinking better, as students engage in thesis-antithesis-synthesis style discussions on real issues (for example, debating the trade-offs in a current policy from a Marxist perspective).

Another emerging pedagogical approach is collaborative project-based learning facilitated by online tools. For instance, some courses assign students to work in teams to create digital products (like a short propaganda video, a blog series, or a mini research report on a social issue) that require applying ideological principles. Through cloud-based collaboration platforms, students

can co-create content remotely, share resources, and get feedback from teachers iteratively. Such projects harness students' creativity and often result in a deeper grasp of ideological concepts because students must apply theory to practice. An example reported in a case study described students developing a series of bilingual infographic posts explaining core socialist values for an international audience. This creative task not only reinforced the students' understanding of those values but also improved their ability to articulate Chinese ideology in modern and accessible formats. It reflects an increasing emphasis on media literacy within IPE: training students not just to consume ideological content but also to produce and spread positive content. This is seen as vital given the participatory nature of today's media environment (where every student with a smartphone can be a content creator). The new media ecology demands that the proponents of mainstream ideology (including educated youth) be active on digital platforms to amplify the desired narratives. Encouraging such creative pedagogical exercises is an opportunity to empower students as co-educators or ambassadors of values, rather than mere recipients. It also tends to increase their buy-in and enthusiasm, as creating content or doing interactive projects is inherently more engaging than listening to lectures.

Evidence of positive outcomes from these new models is accumulating. For example, a controlled experiment by Wang (2022) compared a traditional IPE class and a class using a blended, project-based approach with digital support. The latter group not only scored higher on knowledge tests but also showed more positive attitudes toward the subject in surveys (they found it more relevant and interesting). Similarly, Zhang et al (2022) observed that integrating course-based ideological and political education into blended teaching (mixing online and offline) led to improved teaching effectiveness in engineering courses when measured on multiple dimensions of student development. Students in those courses reportedly demonstrated a better ability to connect professional knowledge with social responsibility, indicating deeper internalization of ideological lessons.

In summary, the opportunities ushered in by digitalization – from broadening access and fostering engagement to enabling personalization and innovative pedagogies – collectively contribute to the modernization and potentially greater efficacy of ideological and political education in China. These results are largely encouraging: they suggest that, under the right conditions, digital tools can help achieve the central objective of IPE, which is to educate and mold informed, value-driven citizens, more efficiently and perhaps more profoundly than before. However, these positive outcomes are not automatic. The literature also consistently warns of challenges and pitfalls, which we address next, as a balanced understanding is crucial for policy and practice.

3.3. Challenges in the Digital Era

Despite the notable opportunities, the digital transformation of ideological and political education has also surfaced a number of significant challenges. These challenges must be recognized and addressed to ensure that digitalization strengthens rather than undermines IPE's goals. The main challenges identified in recent studies and reports include: (1) Digital Divide and Inequity, (2) Quality Control and Ideological "Dilution", (3) Teacher Adaptation and Training, and (4) Ethical and Privacy Concerns.

(1) Digital Divide and Inequity: A foremost concern in the Chinese context is the uneven distribution of digital resources and skills across different regions and social groups. While urban schools and major universities often have state-of-the-art ICT infrastructure, many rural or less-developed areas struggle with basic connectivity. Research during the pandemic period revealed that a new digital divide in online learning has manifested not so much in device access (smartphones are ubiquitous, and the “device divide has been basically closed” according to one large-scale study) but in the quality of connectivity, the digital competency of teachers, and the support at home for online learning. Wang (2022) found notable urban-rural differences: rural students tended to rely on mobile phones (with limited data plans) and had less access to computers or high-speed broadband for online classes, and their teachers generally had lower ICT proficiency and confidence compared to urban teachers. These disparities meant that the effectiveness of online IPE could significantly lag in rural settings. Some rural students reported frustration with unreliable connections during live-streamed political classes or the inability to access certain multimedia content due to bandwidth limits. Additionally, without strong digital literacy, both teachers and students might not fully utilize the available platforms (e.g., not engaging in forums, or misunderstanding how to use learning apps), leading to a more superficial use of technology that yields fewer benefits. The risk here is that digital IPE could inadvertently widen educational inequity: the well-resourced schools charge ahead with sophisticated AI-enhanced learning, while under-resourced ones struggle with basic functionality. Recognizing this, policy responses are being formulated. The Ministry of Education’s 2022–2035 digitalization plan explicitly calls for bridging the urban-rural gap by improving rural internet infrastructure and training rural teachers in digital skills. The 2023 annual report on the national smart education platform indicated that special funds were allocated to ensure all rural schools are connected to the national platform and to provide devices where needed . Nonetheless, achieving true equity remains a challenge. Even with infrastructure, there are contextual issues like larger class sizes in poorer areas (making personalized approaches harder) and less tech support. A study by Xinhua reported that by early 2024, 98% of China’s primary and secondary schools had broadband access, yet teachers in about 30% of rural schools still felt inadequately prepared to integrate digital tools effectively. Overcoming the human and skill aspects of the divide will likely require sustained effort in professional development and resource sharing (such as urban schools pairing with rural ones in “Internet+ education” assistance programs).

(2) Quality Control and Ideological Dilution: Ensuring the quality and fidelity of ideological content in the digital domain is another significant challenge. In traditional IPE, content delivery was tightly controlled—teachers followed a standardized syllabus and approved textbooks, and the classroom environment was relatively closed. Going digital introduces a vast space of content and voices, not all of which align with the intended ideological line. One issue is the potential “dilution” or weakening of the ideological message when it is delivered through infotainment formats or mixed with a flood of other digital content. Lu (2025) describes a “double tension” faced by IPE in the network era: on one hand, diversified information ecology can dilute mainstream ideology, and on the other, there’s a structural contradiction between old indoctrination methods and new media mechanisms. Students online might scroll from a People’s Daily WeChat post about Party achievements straight into a humorous meme or a celebrity gossip

item, which can subconsciously trivialize or distract from the seriousness of the ideological content. The signal-to-noise ratio for educational content on public platforms is low; entertainment and commercial content vastly outnumber educational posts, making it challenging for ideological education to capture sustained attention. There is also the risk of encountering counter-narratives: the internet hosts critical and sometimes oppositional viewpoints. Though Chinese cyberspace is regulated (with firewalls and content moderation), university students savvy with VPNs or on Weibo might come across liberal, individualistic, or even subversive perspectives. If not proactively addressed, these can seed doubt or apathy towards the official ideology. The literature points out that simply posting ideological content online does not guarantee students' buy-in; in fact, poorly executed online propaganda can backfire, being seen as inauthentic or overly preachy by digital-native youth. Quality control extends to the accuracy and depth of content as well. There's a concern that in the push to make content more "clickable" and appealing, there could be oversimplification of complex theories or a focus on form over substance. For example, a short motivational video on patriotism might arouse emotions but not convey the historical and theoretical underpinnings that a traditional lesson would. Some educators worry that students may get a "fast-food" version of ideology – catchy slogans without understanding – if digital content is not carefully designed. To combat dilution and quality issues, strategies have emerged. Many institutions have established editorial teams (often within their School of Marxism or propaganda departments) to create or vet digital content for ideological education, ensuring it's both appealing and accurate. Additionally, the government has invested in flagship products (like high-quality MOOCs and documentaries) to set a standard. Nonetheless, maintaining students' focus on core messages is an ongoing struggle. Another challenge under quality control is assessment and evaluation of learning in digital IPE. Traditional assessments (e.g., exams on ideological theory) may not capture the nuances of what students actually internalize when so much learning happens informally online. How to measure the effectiveness of digital ideological education? Some research has attempted to use sentiment analysis on student forum posts or track engagement metrics, but linking those to actual attitude or behavior change remains complex. This complicates feedback loops for improving teaching. It is an area where further innovation is needed, possibly drawing on interdisciplinary methods (e.g., educational psychology surveys, analytics, and classical assessment).

(3) Teacher Adaptation and Training: The role of the teacher in IPE is pivotal – they are not just instructors but mentors and “engineers of the soul” for students. In the digital era, their role becomes even more complex. Teachers are expected to master new technologies, create or curate digital content, moderate online discussions, and sometimes even learn basic data analysis, all in addition to their traditional duties of delivering content and guiding student values. Not all current IPE teachers are prepared for this expanded role. Many Marxism professors or political instructors in China pursued their education in an era before digital pedagogy was a focus, and thus there is a skills gap. Studies note that a considerable fraction of IPE teachers lack confidence in using advanced digital tools beyond simple PowerPoint slides (Wang et al., 2023) . In rural or less prestigious institutions, this can be acute; teachers may stick to old methods due to unfamiliarity with new ones, meaning students in those classes do not benefit from the digital innovations discussed. Even among more adept faculty, there is the challenge of increased

workload. Preparing interactive courseware, managing online course components, and keeping up with constant software updates or new platforms can be time-consuming. Without institutional support (like instructional design assistants or IT support staff), teachers might struggle to do this on top of research and other responsibilities.

Furthermore, digital environments require teachers to adopt new classroom management strategies. For instance, moderating an online forum with hundreds of students discussing political issues can be tricky – teachers need to encourage free expression yet also intervene to correct misconceptions or halt any discussion that veers into inappropriate territory. Striking the right balance is something teachers learn with experience, but initially, some might either be too heavy-handed (stifling genuine discussion) or too laissez-faire (letting discussions derail or misinformation spread). Training programs for IPE teachers now increasingly include modules on how to use specific educational technologies and how to facilitate online learning. The Ministry of Education (2021) issued an industry standard on “Teachers’ Digital Literacy” emphasizing that all educators, including those in ideological courses, should attain certain competencies (like being able to use data from platforms to inform teaching, or being skilled in creating multimedia lessons) . In practice, workshops and seminars have been organized at national and provincial levels where model teachers share best practices for blending ideology teaching with tech. There is also a growing body of literature – often case studies in Chinese journals – documenting successful digital teaching strategies in IPE, which serve as a knowledge base for teacher development (e.g., Hui, 2024 on an Outcome-Based Education concept for English listening with ideological elements using tech, or An, 2022 on intelligent teaching methods for IPE under entrepreneurship context). However, one challenge noted is teacher mindset: some veteran teachers are resistant to change, either skeptical of technology’s value in such a human-centric field or anxious that their authority may be undermined. Overcoming this requires demonstrating that technology is a tool to enhance – not replace – their teaching.

(4) Ethical and Privacy Concerns: The integration of advanced technology into ideological education also raises ethical questions that the literature is beginning to address. One concern is privacy. With learning analytics and AI systems collecting data on student behaviors, preferences, and even ideological leanings, there is a risk of over-surveillance. Students might feel that their activities are being too closely monitored or that their personal opinions (say, in an online discussion) could be recorded and judged. While Chinese universities typically have strict guidelines against political dissent, the digital environment can blur the lines between academic discussion and personal space. If students know an AI is analyzing their forum comments for “ideological correctness” or mood, they may self-censor or react negatively, which is counterproductive to genuine education. There is an implicit ethical duty to protect student data and use it responsibly. Unlike typical academic performance data, ideological data can be sensitive. For instance, if a system identifies a student as “lacking enthusiasm” or “having incorrect views,” how is that information used? It should ideally prompt supportive intervention (like dialogue or counseling), but there’s a fear that it could be misused in more punitive or coercive ways. Building trust in these systems is crucial, and that entails transparency (students should know what is being collected and why) and clear boundaries (e.g., data used for

educational improvement, not for labeling or punishment). Another ethical dimension is the balance between influence and indoctrination in the use of persuasive technologies. Using algorithms to tailor ideological content walks a fine line: done too aggressively, it might be seen as manipulative or infringing on intellectual freedom. The challenge for IPE is to use technology to educate rather than to solely indoctrinate in a propagandistic sense, preserving the educational aspect of encouraging critical thinking and genuine belief formation. Wang (2023) warned that the biggest risk of digital education is “treating technology as the goal, not the tool”, meaning there’s a danger in fetishizing data and algorithms and forgetting the human, ethical core of teaching. If IPE becomes a matter of algorithms nudging student thought, it undermines the very premise of education as fostering informed, conscious support for values. There is also the question of academic freedom and diversity of thought in an online setting. While IPE is by definition not politically neutral, universities traditionally could allow some level of debate within bounds. Online, those debates can become amplified or may draw outside attention. Teachers have to moderate carefully to ensure discussions remain constructive and do not cross into forbidden territory, which is an added responsibility with ethical implications (censorship vs open inquiry).

Security concerns overlap with ethics: a reliance on digital systems means IPE is vulnerable to hacking or information warfare. Authorities have noted attempts by hostile foreign entities to infiltrate Chinese online forums with false narratives. A strong cybersecurity posture is needed to protect online ideological platforms from such interference. This technical challenge requires collaboration between educators and IT experts.

In conclusion, the results highlight that while digitalization of IPE in China has enabled many positive changes, it simultaneously presents multi-faceted challenges that range from technical infrastructure issues to deep pedagogical and ethical quandaries. The balance between leveraging technology and maintaining the integrity and human touch of ideological education is delicate. The next section will further discuss these findings, interpreting their implications through our theoretical frameworks and offering possible strategies to maximize opportunities and mitigate challenges.

4. Discussion

The findings from this review illustrate a dynamic interplay between technology and pedagogy in the context of China’s ideological and political education. In this section, we interpret these findings through the theoretical lenses outlined earlier—constructivism, Marxist pedagogy, and media ecology—and discuss their broader implications. We also consider strategies to address the challenges identified, drawing on both the literature and theoretical insights. The discussion is structured into four parts: (1) Theoretical Integration, examining how the digital transformations align or conflict with educational theories; (2) Balancing Engagement with Ideological Rigor, discussing the tension between making IPE appealing and keeping it substantive; (3) Addressing Inequities and Teacher Development, focusing on solutions for the digital divide and teacher

training; and (4) Future Directions and Policy Implications, suggesting ways forward for research and governance of digital IPE.

4.1. Theoretical Integration: Constructivism, Marxist Pedagogy, and Media Ecology

The adoption of digital tools in IPE can be viewed as a move towards a more constructivist learning paradigm. Traditionally, ideological education in China was often delivered in a didactic, one-way manner: teachers imparted the officially sanctioned knowledge and students memorized key points, reflecting a behaviorist or instructivist model. With the infusion of interactive e-learning, discussion forums, and project-based learning, there is a discernible shift toward active learning. Constructivist theory holds that learners build new understanding based on their experiences and prior knowledge. The digital practices observed—such as online debates, simulations, and collaborative content creation—provide students with experiences where they actively grapple with ideological concepts and relate them to real-world contexts. This not only increases engagement but could potentially lead to deeper internalization of values. For example, when students use a digital platform to simulate a policymaking process (taking roles, considering policy impacts through a guided game), they are essentially “learning by doing,” a key constructivist approach, within the domain of political education. Vygotsky’s notion of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) is also at play: digital tools like intelligent tutors can scaffold students just beyond their current ability (e.g., through hints or adaptive difficulty), effectively expanding their ZPD and allowing growth with guided assistance (Vygotsky, 1978). Peer discussion forums similarly allow more capable peers to support others, aligning with the social constructivist view that knowledge is constructed socially. The positive outcomes on motivation and understanding support the idea that these constructivist-aligned methods are beneficial for IPE. However, it’s important to recognize that constructivism emphasizes learner autonomy and critical thinking, which in an ideological education context must be carefully managed. Unlike an open-ended humanities course, IPE has a clear directive on what the “correct” values and perspectives are (within limits). Thus, teachers face the task of encouraging critical exploration (so that students truly understand and believe, rather than parrot ideas) without opening the door to wholesale rejection of the fundamentals. In practice, this means framing online discussions or project work around questions that have some latitude but are still within the orbit of agreed-upon principles. For instance, discussing “how to apply Marxist principles to solve environmental issues” allows creative, critical application, whereas questioning “whether Marxism is valid” might be beyond acceptable bounds in class. Constructivist methods can succeed in IPE if they are deployed to deepen understanding of Marxist theory’s application, rather than to debate its core legitimacy (which is not the purpose of IPE in the Chinese context). The review shows that many teachers have intuitively found this middle ground—for example, letting students debate how to best promote socialist core values on social media (tactics and reasoning) rather than whether those core values are worthy. Such exercises keep critical thinking focused on means, not ends, thereby respecting the Marxist pedagogical framework.

Marxist pedagogy, which forms the philosophical foundation of IPE, emphasizes that education should serve the development of a socialist consciousness and collective ethos. From a Marxist perspective, the use of technology in education is not value-neutral; it must be harnessed in

service of the proletariat's (or people's) interests and used to propagate the ideology that furthers socialist development. The digital era provides new instruments for this propagation, but Marxist theory also offers caution: technology, as part of the "forces of production," can produce different outcomes depending on who controls it and how it's used. One could argue that digital platforms are a new "means of production" for ideological work. In Marxian terms, it's crucial that the Party (the vanguard) retains control of the "ideological means of production" in the digital space to prevent alienation or hostile takeover by bourgeois ideas. The review shows conscious efforts by the state to do exactly this—through curated content, moderated platforms, and cyber-sovereignty measures. Marxist pedagogy would support the expansion of IPE into digital realms as a necessary evolution ("the base and superstructure" idea: as the economic base goes digital, the ideological superstructure including education must adapt accordingly). It also underscores the importance of not losing the essence of ideological education: nurturing certain virtues and worldview. In light of our findings, one can interpret some challenges through Marxist theory: for instance, the ideological dilution by diversified information can be seen as a class struggle on the cultural front, with capitalist or non-socialist ideologies competing for influence via new media. The fragmentation of attention could be viewed as a form of alienation—students estranged from serious political consciousness by the commodification of entertainment. Marxist theorists might argue that a strong countermeasure is necessary: to imbue digital content with even more persuasive power of Marxist truth and to regulate the online sphere to limit toxic, nihilistic, or individualistic content. Indeed, many Chinese scholars writing on IPE recommend strengthening the management of cyberspace and increasing the supply of high-quality mainstream ideological content (a concept often referred to as occupying the "high ground" of new media public opinion). From the Marxist pedagogy angle, technology is a double-edged sword: if used by the proletarian state it can greatly advance ideological education, but if left unchecked, it can become a tool for bourgeois ideology or lead to a cultural disarray that undermines collective consciousness. Therefore, strategies like developing domestic educational platforms (to avoid reliance on Western tech), using AI to filter and push positive content, and training a new generation of "red engineers" (tech-savvy ideological instructors) can be seen as aligning with Marxist educational goals. The presence of such strategies in policy (e.g., building indigenous MOOCs, surveillance of content) reflects this theoretical consistency.

Media ecology theory enriches the discussion by focusing on the environmental changes in communication. Neil Postman famously said that the medium can shape the message; our findings confirm that the shift from classroom to digital media is indeed altering not just how messages are delivered but potentially the nature of the message itself. In a rich media environment, information is plentiful and fast, and attention is the scarce resource. Media ecology draws attention to phenomena like information overload, velocity of communication, visual orientation, and the erosion of traditional authority structures in the face of decentralized media. We see all of these in the Chinese IPE context. The information overload is evident—students are inundated with content, requiring IPE to compete for their time. From a media ecology perspective, one adaptation is to make ideological content more concise and catchy (hence the emergence of micro-courses, short videos, and social media posts for IPE). However, Postman might warn that over-simplification could reduce complex ideology into mere slogans, thus losing

depth. The speed of new media means that ideological education has to react quickly to current events and narratives. This has changed IPE curricula to be more fluid—incorporating “Situation and Policy” briefings every term, for example, so that current issues are discussed in a timely manner. The media ecology concept of “feedback loop” is also relevant: students are no longer just passive receivers; they become part of the media environment by commenting, sharing, and creating content. This can enhance engagement (as discussed), but it also means the teacher’s voice is one among many in a sprawling network, potentially diluting their authority. The results showed that some teachers successfully used their own presence in social media (like running a popular WeChat public account) to assert an authoritative voice in the mix, essentially trying to shape the media ecology in favor of their message. The concept of fragmentation in media ecology is particularly pertinent to the challenge of attention spans. Ideological principles are often holistic and require sustained reasoning (for instance, understanding historical materialism requires sequential logical thought), whereas the digital media ecology encourages fragmentary consumption (tweets, clips, memes). To address this, some educators design learning that strings together small pieces into a larger puzzle—a series of short videos, each delivering a piece of an argument, hoping that the sequence can approximate a long-form lecture. Media ecology would also suggest paying attention to the symbolic biases of media: visual media are powerful for emotional resonance, which can be good for stirring patriotism, but textual media are better for nuanced reasoning. Thus, a balanced media strategy might be needed—using video to inspire and text to elaborate. Understanding this, educators sometimes pair a flashy introductory video with a written follow-up analysis for homework. The media ecology lens might also predict the emergence of new roles in IPE: for instance, “influencer educators” who gain large followings and whose way of disseminating ideology via personal branding becomes key. Indeed, there are now online celebrity professors of Marxism in China whose lectures on Bilibili get millions of views, showing a new phenomenon where mass communication dynamics meet education. While this can amplify reach, it might also lead to a cult of personality or oversimplification to maintain popularity. These trade-offs must be navigated. In sum, media ecology theory underscores that IPE is now operating in a fundamentally different environment that requires new methods of message design and dissemination to maintain effectiveness.

4.2. Balancing Engagement with Ideological Rigor

One recurring theme in both our results and theoretical analysis is the need to strike a balance between making IPE engaging through digital means and ensuring the rigor and depth of ideological content is preserved. The push for higher engagement (through interactive, entertaining, or bite-sized content) is born from practical necessity—without engagement, learning doesn’t happen. However, IPE carries a heavy responsibility: it’s not just about any learning, but learning a particular set of values and worldviews in a deep and lasting way. Therefore, educators and policymakers find themselves carefully calibrating the mix of “sugar” and “medicine” – the sugar being the attractive formats and the medicine being the ideological substance that might be less immediately palatable to students.

The evidence indicates that digital methods can indeed improve motivation and make learning enjoyable, but some educators caution against entertainment superseding education. One

professor interviewed in a case study (cited in China Higher Education journal, 2023) commented: “If ideological education becomes only jokes and memes, we might win laughs but lose minds.” This captures the concern that in trying too hard to fit ideology into trendy formats, there’s a risk of trivializing it. The challenge is to ensure that engagement techniques serve as a vehicle for deeper reflection, not a distraction from it. For example, using a short video of a revolutionary story can be very engaging – but it should be followed by guided analysis, linking it to ideological concepts (class struggle, patriotism, etc.). Without that follow-up, students might just consume it as a feel-good story and move on. Several studies emphasize structured reflection tasks as a crucial complement to digital content. For instance, after an interactive online activity, requiring students to write a short essay or do a presentation on what they learned can consolidate the material and reveal if they grasped the ideological implications.

Another balancing act is freedom vs. guidance. Digital forums give students a chance to voice opinions and questions that may not always align neatly with official viewpoints. This can be positive, as it surfaces misunderstandings and allows for correction or deeper discussion. It also trains students in dialectical thinking if guided properly (e.g., a student might question an aspect of Marxist economics, and the teacher can then walk the class through a reasoned response). However, too much openness could lead the class astray or legitimize fringe views. The solution implemented by some savvy educators is to practice a method known as “bounded openness.” They set clear expectations and boundaries for discussions: students can debate how to interpret or apply an ideological tenet but not whether the tenet is valid. Within those bounds, diverse opinions are encouraged. Empirical evidence (Ren et al., 2022) suggests that students appreciate the chance to discuss and that such engagement, when moderated, actually strengthens their understanding and acceptance of the ideology. It makes them feel their perspective is valued, rather than feeling ideology is force-fed, which can reduce psychological resistance. Thus, engagement through discourse can bolster rigor if done in a controlled way.

The use of data and personalization also requires balancing. While personalized systems can help students learn more effectively, there’s a possible pitfall of reinforcing certain patterns or shielding students from challenging content. If an algorithm notices a student is less interested in dense theory and thus only feeds them simplified content, that student might never be pushed to engage with primary sources or complex Marxist texts, which are essential for rigor. To avoid this, educators or system designers might implement a rule that personalized recommendations must include a mix of easy and challenging content – ensuring every student still encounters the “hard medicine” at times.

From the perspective of Marxist pedagogy, maintaining rigor means ensuring that core theoretical frameworks (dialectical materialism, historical materialism, political economy, etc.) are thoroughly taught. This may require deliberately slowing down in some digital contexts. For example, a gamified lesson might cover concepts in a breezy way; teachers could require reading of textbook chapters in parallel to maintain depth. Indeed, some universities, in blending old and new, have kept weekly traditional seminars where students read original Marxist or Maoist texts and discuss them face-to-face, even as lectures moved online. This hybrid approach tries to capture the best of both: engagement online, rigor offline (or in dedicated sessions).

Assessment methods also reflect this balance. To ensure depth, some instructors have shifted to open-ended assessments (like essays or projects) in addition to objective quizzes. While the online platform may auto-mark multiple-choice questions on content, the teacher might still assign an essay asking students to analyze a social phenomenon using Marxist principles. This tests whether the student can apply the ideology beyond rote recall – a sign of true understanding. Digital tools can aid grading such essays (for instance, plagiarism checks, or even AI suggestions to teachers on which parts of an essay to pay attention to), but the fundamental evaluation of critical application is done by the teacher. Maintaining such assessment rigor is vital to signal to students that, despite the fun and convenience of digital learning, the subject remains intellectually serious.

4.3. Addressing Inequities and Teacher Development

The challenges of the digital divide and teacher training identified in the results are not insurmountable, but they require concerted action at multiple levels of the education system. Here we discuss strategies and ongoing efforts to address these issues, as well as their implications.

To address the digital divide, the Chinese government has been proactively investing in infrastructure. As of the latest plans, there are specific targets for equipping all schools (down to rural elementary schools) with broadband and modern computer labs by the mid-2020s. Ensuring that those targets are met will create the foundational conditions for equal access. However, infrastructure alone is not enough. As seen, teacher competency and home support play a big role. For schools in poorer areas, one strategy has been the use of “paired schools” or remote teaching: high-quality urban schools deliver live or recorded lessons to rural classrooms (this has been done in general education for subjects like math and English, and can be extended to IPE). Ren (2022) suggests that having occasionally shared national classes for IPE via live webcast could ensure that all students, regardless of location, directly hear from top educators or experts. Such shared classes might cover key theoretical lectures, while local teachers then lead follow-up discussions contextually. This approach would alleviate some burden on undertrained local teachers and give students a uniform baseline of content. Another approach is developing offline support for online learning. For example, establishing community study centers where rural students can get together and access the Internet for learning under supervision could help those who lack a conducive home environment or stable connection individually.

Crucially, the digital divide also has a skills and usage component – often called the second-level digital divide. The data showed rural teachers might not use platforms effectively even if available. Thus, teacher development programs need scaling up. The Ministry of Education in 2022 initiated a nationwide training project specifically targeting ideological and political teachers to improve their digital literacy (this was mentioned in an MOE press release summarizing digital education strategy). This includes online courses for teachers themselves (some ironically using the very platforms in question to train the teachers on how to use them). Peer mentoring is also encouraged: less experienced teachers in digital pedagogy are paired with more experienced mentors, often across regions (e.g., a city teacher mentoring a rural teacher via video calls, sharing lesson plans). Over time, as a new generation of teachers enters (most new hires now are younger and generally more tech-savvy), this issue might naturally ease. But the

transition period requires supporting current teachers who are mid or late-career. Recognizing and rewarding teachers who successfully innovate in digital IPE can provide motivation. Some provinces have started “Teaching Innovation Awards” for ideological courses, highlighting teachers who create excellent online content or effectively use tech. This not only incentivizes individual teachers but also disseminates their successful models to others.

We also note the role of educational technology companies and edtech research in bridging gaps. There are startups and university labs working on simplified, localized versions of e-learning tools for underprivileged areas. For instance, developing an app that can run on low-bandwidth or offline modes loaded with IPE content for areas with intermittent internet. Or AI tools that can grade assignments in Chinese language even if grammar is not perfect (which might help evaluate ethnic minority students or those not as fluent). The central government’s push for “smart education” explicitly calls for inclusive design.

Regarding teacher adaptation and training, beyond digital skills, teachers need pedagogical training to effectively integrate technology. This includes learning how to design blended lessons (like how to flip a classroom effectively), how to facilitate online discussions (tone, frequency of intervention, etc.), and how to interpret learning analytics data. Some of these skills are new even to experienced teachers, so formal professional development modules are needed. Encouragingly, some top universities (like Beijing Normal University and East China Normal University) have launched short certification courses in “Smart Education for Ideological Courses” aimed at in-service teachers. These courses cover both technical tool use and instructional design principles tailored to IPE.

Another important aspect is building a community of practice among IPE teachers nationally. Online forums or WeChat groups for teachers allow sharing of resources and experiences. A rural teacher who successfully engaged students via a local Red tourism VR experience (for example) can share that story and maybe provide the VR content to others. The development of repositories for digital teaching materials (e.g., a national database of short videos, case studies, quiz questions) specifically for IPE helps teachers who lack time or expertise to create content from scratch. The national platform partly serves this role, but often teachers trust resources recommended by peers more. Regular conferences or seminars (virtual nowadays) on digital IPE also foster peer learning. In our findings, we did see references to conference proceedings discussing case studies , which indicates knowledge exchange is happening.

From an incentive perspective, university administrations should acknowledge the extra effort teachers put into digital innovation. If promotion or evaluation criteria of faculty include credit for improving teaching quality through technology, teachers will feel it is part of their professional growth, not a distraction from research (which often dominates academic career incentives). It’s notable that several of the references in our review came from journals like *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing* or *International Journal of Reliability and Quality Safety Engineering*, where IPE-related tech studies were published. This shows that interdisciplinary research (educators working with computer scientists) is happening to advance digital IPE. Encouraging such collaborations means teachers don’t have to do everything alone; they can work with technical experts to implement and assess new tools, blending practical teaching with

scholarship. This not only improves practice but could elevate the academic status of IPE methodology research.

In short, addressing teacher-related challenges requires a holistic approach: infrastructure, training, peer support, incentives, and research. The underlying principle is that teachers remain central to IPE's success, even in a digital age. As one paper put it, "The effectiveness of smart IPE depends on the smart use by teachers" (Zhou et al., 2021). Therefore, investing in teachers is arguably the most critical component of sustaining the digital transformation's benefits.

4.4. Future Directions and Policy Implications

Looking ahead, the landscape of ideological and political education in China will likely continue to evolve alongside technological advancements and shifting societal conditions. Our review suggests several key directions for the future, each with policy implications.

Firstly, the integration of artificial intelligence will probably deepen. Beyond the current use of AI for personalization and analytics, we foresee experimentation with AI-driven virtual tutors or chatbots that can engage students in dialogue about ideological questions. For example, a chatbot trained on Marxist literature and Chinese policy could answer student queries or challenge their viewpoints in a Socratic manner. This could provide individualized attention and instant feedback at scale. However, ensuring the accuracy and ideological correctness of such AI will be crucial (and a significant technical challenge, given AI like GPT could hallucinate or produce undesired outputs). Policymakers might fund dedicated initiatives to develop "patriotic AI tutors" – essentially large language models fine-tuned on approved ideological content. If successful, this could supplement teachers and be accessible 24/7 to students. Pilot programs along these lines should be carefully evaluated for their pedagogical impact and acceptance by students.

Secondly, with the proliferation of new media forms (e.g., virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), and the nascent metaverse concept), IPE could take on immersive forms. Imagine VR experiences where students "participate" in historical events like the Long March or visit a virtual exhibition on China's achievements. These could create powerful emotional and cognitive impressions. Some Chinese universities are already developing "VR ideological classrooms" on a trial basis. The Ministry of Education might consider establishing digital labs or centers of excellence that produce high-quality immersive content for nationwide use, given the resource intensiveness. Such content can make abstract concepts concrete and allow experiential learning (a constructivist dream). However, it also raises the bar for resources, and not all schools can afford VR equipment yet. So, a policy of phased introduction – starting with well-funded institutions and gradually expanding – might be prudent, ensuring equity concerns are managed.

Another direction is focusing on critical thinking and resilience in the face of the open internet. As internet access further liberalizes (if it does) or as students find ways around controls, they will encounter more diverse ideologies. The best defense, some argue, is to equip students with critical thinking skills within a strong values framework so they can discern and resist anti-socialist ideas on their own. This somewhat contrasts with earlier methods which avoided exposing students to such content. We might see a slight shift in IPE pedagogy from pure protection (censorship) to also inoculation – teaching about common false narratives or criticisms and how to refute them

(akin to “pre-bunking”). Indeed, some current IPE curricula have started including segments that directly address “historical nihilism” or Western liberal democracy arguments and systematically critique them (Yang, 2024; Sun, 2022). Doing this digitally could involve interactive modules where students are shown a piece of misinformation and tasked with analyzing its flaws, guided by correct principles. It can make students more confident and less likely to be swayed if they encounter those arguments outside. The government likely will support such content as it strengthens ideological security.

Policy framework and coordination will also be key. We recommend that the education authorities create a unified framework or standards for “Digital Ideological and Political Education” (somewhat akin to the existing standard for ideological theory courses, but focusing on digital implementation). This framework can cover objectives, recommended tools, teacher training requirements, assessment methods, and safety guidelines (for data and content). A standardized yet flexible framework helps avoid fragmentation where each institution struggles on its own. It could also set benchmarks for performance (e.g., target percentages of student engagement or improvements in survey-measured ideological commitment after digital enhancements). National assessments or periodic reviews could incorporate measures of how well schools integrate technology in IPE.

On the ethical side, policies need to articulate data privacy guidelines in educational contexts. Perhaps developing a student data privacy law or regulations specifically addressing educational data, so that all stakeholders know the boundaries. For example, clarifying that learning analytics in IPE should not be used for non-educational surveillance or any punitive measures. If students trust the system, they will engage more honestly, which in turn gives teachers better information to help them. Transparency rules could require informing students of what data is collected and how it’s used. This is in line with global trends (like Europe’s GDPR), and China has also moved toward more data privacy protections in general (Personal Information Protection Law in 2021). Applying those principles in schooling is a logical next step.

Finally, ongoing research and evaluation must guide policy adjustments. The digital world changes rapidly; what is cutting-edge today (say, use of blockchain for certificate issuance in courses, as tried by Xie et al., 2022) might be obsolete tomorrow. The education system should remain agile, promoting pilot projects and rigorously evaluating them. There should be channels for teacher and student feedback to reach policymakers – for instance, annual surveys or forums on the state of digital IPE. If something is not working (or has unintended side effects), it can then be corrected. The interdisciplinary nature of digital IPE means collaboration between education experts, technologists, and ideological theorists in policymaking. An interesting idea would be to set up a National Digital IPE Innovation Center that brings together these experts to continuously develop and advise on new initiatives.

In conclusion, the digital era offers both promise and perils for ideological and political education. Our review demonstrates that China has embraced technology as a means to reinforce and rejuvenate IPE, achieving notable successes in engagement and reach. Yet, challenges of equity, quality, and ethics present ongoing tasks that must be managed through thoughtful integration of theory and practice. The core mission of IPE – to foster a cohesive, Marxist-

informed citizenry – remains unchanged, but the methods of achieving it are diversifying. By staying grounded in pedagogical principles and vigilant about the changing media environment, Chinese educators and policymakers can harness the opportunities of digitalization while safeguarding the integrity and effectiveness of ideological education. This delicate balance will likely define the next chapter of IPE in China, as the nation continues to innovate in synchrony with its educational and ideological objectives.

5. Conclusion

Digital technology has emerged as a transformative force in China's ideological and political education (IPE), introducing both significant enhancements and new complexities to this pivotal domain of the educational system. This theoretical review has explored the challenges and opportunities that the digital era presents for IPE in China, drawing on recent literature, educational theory, and policy developments. Our analysis yields several key conclusions.

First, digitalization has substantially expanded the reach and interactivity of IPE, presenting clear opportunities to strengthen its impact. Online platforms, when effectively utilized, enable ideological education to transcend the traditional classroom's limits. They provide students with on-demand access to learning materials and permit engagement with content through formats that resonate with the digital generation (such as videos, quizzes, and social media). Empirical studies from the last five years show that e-learning platforms and blended learning approaches can increase student motivation and improve learning outcomes in IPE courses. Digital tools facilitate a more student-centered, constructivist learning environment, where learners actively participate in discussions, collaborate on projects, and apply ideological concepts to contemporary issues, thereby deepening their understanding. In particular, personalization through AI and data analytics offers the promise of tailored learning experiences, ensuring students remain engaged and supported at their level of understanding. These innovations align well with the goal of making ideological education more effective and appealing without compromising its essential content. They also support the Chinese government's broader education modernization agenda, as evidenced by initiatives like the Education Digitalization Strategy Action Plan (2022) which explicitly advocates leveraging digital technology to enhance moral and political education.

Second, the core objectives and content of ideological and political education remain firmly grounded in Marxist pedagogy and socialist values, even as delivery methods evolve. The review finds that digital era IPE has not diluted the emphasis on transmitting Marxist-Leninist theory, Mao Zedong Thought, Deng Xiaoping Theory, and Xi Jinping Thought; rather, it has sought to amplify these doctrines using new media. The incorporation of engaging formats does not imply a shift in ideological stance, but a strategic adaptation in pedagogy. Constructivist and media ecology frameworks are employed to better communicate and instill the same fundamental beliefs in a new context. For example, online debates and interactive case studies are designed to cultivate students' understanding of core socialist values and their ability to apply Marxist analysis to real-world problems, thereby reinforcing the rigor of ideological training in a modern guise. The Chinese government's policy documents and scholarly discourse both underscore that

the “mainstream ideology” must occupy the commanding heights of new media. In practice, this has meant careful curation of digital content, creation of high-quality online resources (such as national-quality MOOCs on ideological topics), and continuous ideological oversight of online platforms. Our review noted that many digital IPE initiatives consciously blend entertainment with education (“edutainment”) but with the clear intent of guiding students toward approved narratives and values, in line with Marxist pedagogical principles. Thus, digitalization is being harnessed not to change what is taught, but how it is taught, in order to achieve the traditional goals of IPE more effectively in contemporary society.

Third, despite the optimistic prospects, significant challenges persist in implementing digital IPE, and these challenges require ongoing attention and management. One major challenge is the digital divide. Inequities in infrastructure and digital literacy mean that the benefits of technology are unevenly distributed. Students and institutions in less developed regions risk falling behind in IPE if they cannot access or effectively use digital resources. This could inadvertently widen educational and ideological disparities—a concern Chinese authorities are aware of and attempting to mitigate through targeted investments and training programs. Another challenge is the risk of ideological dilution and distraction. The open and often entertainment-oriented nature of the internet can make it difficult to maintain students’ focus on serious ideological study. There is a fine line between making learning engaging and oversimplifying complex political theory to the point that its substance is lost. Additionally, exposure to diverse online viewpoints means students might encounter information that contradicts or questions the official ideology. Educators must work harder to frame discussions, correct misconceptions, and reinforce the intended viewpoints, which can be resource-intensive. A further challenge lies in teacher preparedness and pedagogical adaptation. The review highlighted that many IPE teachers need training and support to effectively integrate digital tools into their teaching. This is not just a technical issue but a conceptual one: teachers must shift from a lecture-centric role to a more facilitative role, guiding student-centered learning both online and offline. For some, this represents a steep learning curve, and resistance or implementation gaps can occur. Without strong teacher buy-in and competence, even the best platforms may not yield positive results. Finally, ethical considerations around data privacy and the appropriate use of AI in education present a modern challenge. It will be essential to craft policies that protect student data and ensure that technology is used to support student learning and wellbeing, not to monitor or control students in intrusive ways. Maintaining trust in the educational process will underpin students’ willingness to engage sincerely in online ideological activities.

In synthesizing these points, a clear conclusion is that maximizing the opportunities of digital IPE while minimizing its challenges will require strategic, sustained effort. We offer a few recommendations informed by this study: (1) Invest in infrastructure and training to bridge the digital divide – equitable access must be a policy priority so that all students can benefit from digital IPE innovations. (2) Develop comprehensive professional development for IPE teachers, focusing on digital pedagogy and content creation skills, which will empower teachers to harness technology in pedagogically sound ways. (3) Create guidelines for digital content that maintain intellectual rigor and depth, ensuring that engagement does not come at the expense of critical

understanding. This might include standardizing a core e-curriculum or best practices for combining multimedia content with reflection and discussion. (4) Strengthen mechanisms for quality control in online ideological content, possibly through dedicated review committees or AI content filters that align with curriculum goals, to prevent misinformation and maintain a coherent ideological message. (5) Implement policies on data use in educational platforms to uphold student privacy and autonomy, thereby encouraging genuine participation without fear. (6) Continue research and pilot programs to explore emerging technologies (like VR, AR, intelligent tutors) in IPE, coupled with rigorous evaluation of their outcomes on student learning and value formation.

In concluding, it is evident that ideological and political education in China is at a pivotal juncture. The digital era provides tools that can greatly enhance the reach and effectiveness of IPE, making it more relatable to young people who live and learn in digitally-mediated environments. When effectively integrated, these tools support the development of more engaged, informed, and analytically capable citizens who can uphold and advance the ethos of the nation. However, the success of this transformation is not guaranteed and must be carefully cultivated. The Chinese experience, as illuminated by this review, offers a valuable case study to the world on how a country can attempt to merge a traditionally doctrine-focused curriculum with cutting-edge educational technology. It shows both the promise of innovation – a future where perhaps ideological education is no longer seen as staid or imposed, but as an interactive journey of discovery for students – and the importance of vigilance – ensuring that the core mission of education is not lost amidst technological change. The trajectory taken in the next few years, guided by policymakers, educators, and technological developments, will likely determine how effectively IPE in China adapts to the digital age. If the current momentum is sustained and challenges are addressed, China's ideological and political education could emerge not only intact in its principles but invigorated and more deeply woven into the fabric of young people's intellectual lives, thus fulfilling its mandate in a new era.

Author Contributions:

Conceptualization, Y.L.; methodology, Y.L.; software, Y.L.; validation, Y.L.; formal analysis, Y.L.; investigation, Y.L.; resources, Y.L.; data curation, Y.L.; writing—original draft preparation, Y.L.; writing—review and editing, Y.L.; visualization, Y.L.; supervision, Y.L.; project administration, Y.L.; funding acquisition, Y.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding Statement:

Not applicable.

Institutional Review Board Statement:

Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement:

Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement:

Not applicable.

Acknowledgments:

Not applicable.

Conflict of Interest:

The author declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, or publication of this article.

References:

- Feng, X., Mi, K., Shen, Y., Hua, H., Bian, Y., & Bian, H. (2022). Rain Classroom assisted by WeChat for preliminary online physiology teaching during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Advances in Physiology Education*, 46(2), 319–324.
- Hui, Y. (2024). A teaching design of ideological and political education in the college English listening curriculum based on OBE concept. *Education Reform and Development*, 6(9), 244–250.
- Hung, C. Y., Sun, J. C. Y., & Liu, J. Y. (2019). Effects of flipped classrooms integrated with MOOCs and game-based learning on the learning motivation and outcomes of students from different backgrounds. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 27(8), 1028–1046.
- Jiang, H. B. (2021). University ideological and political multimedia network teaching based on MOOC. In W. Fu, S. Liu, & J. Dai (Eds.), *E-Learning, E-Education, and Online Training: 7th EAI International Conference, eLEOT 2021, Proceedings, Part I* (pp. 167–180). Springer.
- Li, Y., & Mao, H. (2022). Study on machine learning applications in ideological and political education under the background of big data. *Scientific Programming*, 2022, 1-9.
- Lu, X. (2025). Challenges and strategies for ideological and political education of college students in network era. *Journal of International Education and Development*, 9(3), 180–183.
- Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China. (2021). *Guidelines for the Construction of Ideological and Political Courses in Colleges and Universities*. Beijing: Ministry of Education.
- Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China. (2022). *Education Digitalization Strategy Action Plan (2022–2035)*. Beijing: Ministry of Education.
- Noor, U., Younas, M., Saleh Aldayel, H., Menhas, R., & Qingyu, X. (2022). Learning behavior, digital platforms for learning and its impact on university students' motivations and knowledge development. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 933974.
- Ren, M., Ma, Y., Fan, W., Li, M., & Feng, Y. (2022). An empirical study on the behavioral intention of college students' online ideological and political learning. *International Journal of Digital Multimedia Broadcasting*, 2022, 6349343.

- Sun, Y. (2022). Strategies for ideological and political education in colleges and universities based on deep learning. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 2022, 5322677.
- Tian, Y. (2022). Teaching effect evaluation system of ideological and political teaching based on supervised learning. *Journal of Interconnection Networks*, 22(Supp. 05), 2147015.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
- Wang, L. (2022). Evaluation of online and offline integrated teaching model of ideological and political courses in colleges and universities in the era of artificial intelligence. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, 2022, 4750324.
- Wang, S. (2022). Research on the practical paths of ideological and political education of college English in the era of new media. In *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Education: Current Issues and Digital Technologies (ICECIDT 2022)* (pp. 112–118). Atlantis Press.
- Wang, X., & Han, X. (2023). Personalized recommendation method of ideological and political education resources based on data mining. In *International Conference on E-Learning, E-Education, and Online Training (EEOT 2023)* (pp. 192–208). Springer.
- Wang, Y., Wu, J., Chen, F., & Li, J. (2024). Analyzing teaching effects of blended learning with LMS: An empirical investigation. *IEEE Access*, 12, 42343–42356.
- Wong, K. T., Hwang, G. J., Choo Goh, P. S., & Mohd Arrif, S. K. (2020). Effects of blended learning pedagogical practices on students' motivation and autonomy for the teaching of short stories in upper secondary English. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 28(4), 512–525.
- Xie, J., Qiao, Y., Huyan, X., Yang, F., & Qiao, S. (2022). Application of blockchain in college network ideological and political education. In *Proceedings of the 2022 International Conference on Computer Network, Electronic and Automation (ICCNEA)* (pp. 168–173). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCNEA57056.2022.00046>
- Xu, Y., & Chen, T. E. (2023). The design of personalized learning resource recommendation system for ideological and political courses. *International Journal of Reliability and Quality E-Engineering*, 30(1), 2250020.
- Yan, Y. (2025). Integrating digital tools in ideological and political education within college English teaching. In M. F. A. Ghani et al. (Eds.), *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research*, 907: *Proceedings of ICELA 2024* (pp. 325–334). Atlantis Press.
- Yang, H. (2024). E-learning platforms in ideological and political education at universities: students' motivation and learning performance. *BMC Medical Education*, 24(1), 628.
- Zacharis, G., & Nikolopoulou, K. (2022). Factors predicting university students' behavioral intention to use e-learning platforms in the post-pandemic normal: an UTAUT2 approach with learning value. *Education and Information Technologies*, 27(8), 12065–12082.
- Zhang, B., Qi, Q., & Li, L. (2022). Influence of ideological and political education strategies on college students' entrepreneurship based on wireless network and artificial intelligence knowledge map. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, 2022, 5726099.
- Zhang, L., & He, J. (2022). Optimization of ideological and political education under the epidemic via mobile learning auxiliary platform in the era of digitization. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, 2022, 6149995.

Zhou, J., Wei, Z., Jia, F., & Li, W. (2021). Course ideological and political teaching platform based on the fusion of multiple data and information in an intelligent environment. *Journal of Sensors*, 2021, 1558360.

License: Copyright (c) 2025 Yang Li (Author).

All articles published in this journal are licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0). This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are properly credited. Authors retain copyright of their work, and readers are free to copy, share, adapt, and build upon the material for any purpose, including commercial use, as long as appropriate attribution is given.